

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/381,401	09/20/1999	CHIYO AKAMATSU	501.37459X00	3905
20457	7590 02/07/2005		EXAM	INER
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			HOYE, MICHAEL W	
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/381,401	AKAMATSU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael W. Hoye	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tte, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	September 2004.					
•						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 39-57 and 59-67 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 39-57 and 59-67 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	-					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	_	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicants' arguments, see the Remarks section of the Amendment, filed on September 20, 2004, with respect to all 35 USC rejections (i.e., the 35 USC 102 rejection of claims 39-41, 43-46, 52, 53, 55, 62 and 65 as being anticipated by Young et al. (US 5,353.121 A); the 35 USC 103 rejection of Claims 42, 47-49, 54, 56-59, 61, 66 and 67 as being unpatentable over Young et al. in view of Iijima et al. (US 5,760,698 A); the 103 rejection of Claims 50, 51 and 60 as being unpatentable over Young et al. in view of Iijima et al., and further in view of Young (US 4,706,121 A); the 103 rejection of Claim 63 (as related to Claim 61) over Young et al. in view of Iijima et al. and further in view of Kopetz (US 4,866,606 A); the 103 rejection of Claim 63 (as related to Claim 62) as being unpatentable over Young et al. in view of Kopetz; and the 103 rejection of Claim 64 as being unpatentable over Young et al. in view of Iijima, and further in view of Van Steenbrugge (US 5,502,436 A)) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made under 35 USC 103 in further view of Hoekstra (US 5,929,931) as applied to all of the previous rejections listed above.

As to the amended independent claims 39, 52, 56, 57, 61, 62, 64, 66 and 67, the Applicants argue that, "All of the applied art (each taken singlely or in combination) fail to disclose or suggest [a secondary AV apparatus performs operations (e.g., storage of a requested apparatus use information) at a time when an operator inputs a timed reservation request.] i.e., all such art teach forwarding or receipt of apparatus use information to/at a secondary apparatus

Art Unit: 2614

when a time of a reserved operation arrives (i.e., not when the operator inputs the original reservation request).

In addition to, the Applicants' argue that, "Basically, in Young et al., a controller (CPU) has reservation information (record parameters), and controls a target (VCR) by [a] record command at the schedule[d] time."

And specifically related to independent claim 39 the Applicants' argue that, "However, in the present invention, an AV apparatus requests a secondary AV apparatus to store apparatus use information which constitutes at least a set of reservation data at the time that the AV apparatus sets reservation information. [and Young et al. does not teach these features.]"

In response to the Applicants arguments the Examiner has made new grounds of rejection in further view of Hoekstra (US 5,929,931), as applied to all of the previous rejections listed above, which provides the additional teachings of an AV apparatus (14, Fig 1) sending a request information to a secondary AV apparatus (VCR 12, Fig. 1) via a bus (16) at the time that the AV apparatus sets reservation information (see col. 1, line 54 – col. 2, line 54 and col. 3, lines 20-54), where a response code such as "not implemented", "reject", "busy" or "completed" may be returned indicating the status of the request made. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the Young et al reference with the additional teachings of the Hoekstra reference for the advantage of sending a request from an AV apparatus to a secondary AV apparatus when the operator inputs the original reservation request, in order to provide an alert to the operator in advance of the request taking place so that the operator may attempt to resolve any problems that arise (see col. 3, lines 36-54 of Hoekstra).

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 39-41, 43-46, 52-53, 55, 62 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al (USPN 5,353,121), in view of Hoekstra (USPN 5,929,931), both cited by the Examiner.

As to claim 39, note the Young et al reference which discloses the claimed reservation data management section to manage data regarding timed reservation information as met by the Record Memo RAM memory 236 in Fig. 22A (col. 19, lines 1-5). The claimed time management section to give notice when a time of a reserved operation arrives is met by CPU 228 working in conjunction with the system clock 230 (col. 19, lines 5-8). The claimed control section to transmit request information from the AV apparatus to the secondary AV apparatus... is met in-part by CPU 228 (col. 19, lines 5-11), contained within apparatus or system 180, which sends VCR operation or control commands via IR driver 214 or bus 270 (col. 19, lines 50-52). The claimed reservation data management section, time management section and control section are also met by the remote controller 212 (col. 19, lines 14-28). The claimed request information requests the secondary AV apparatus to store apparatus use information which constitutes at least a set of reservation data to manage at least one reservation including information of a desired time during which the secondary AV apparatus should operate is met by the record parameters

Art Unit: 2614

(channel, start time and length (col. 19, lines 1-2 and 50-52)). Although the Young et al reference does not explicitly disclose the claimed control section to transmit request information for the AV apparatus to the secondary AV apparatus at a time when an operator inputs a time reservation request in the AV apparatus..., the Hoekstra reference provides the additional teachings of an AV apparatus (14, Fig 1) sending a request information to a secondary AV apparatus (VCR 12, Fig. 1) via a bus (16) at the time that the AV apparatus sets reservation information (see col. 1, line 54 – col. 2, line 54 and col. 3, lines 20-54), where a response code such as "not implemented", "reject", "busy" or "completed" may be returned indicating the status of the request made. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the Young et al reference with the additional teachings of the Hoekstra reference for the advantage of sending a request from an AV apparatus to a secondary AV apparatus when the operator inputs the original reservation request, in order to provide an alert to the operator in advance of the request taking place so that the operator may attempt to resolve any problems that arise (see col. 3, lines 36-54 of Hoekstra).

As to claim 40, the claimed apparatus use information includes contents of an instruction for the secondary AV apparatus to execute during transmission or reception of video information or audio information during the desired time is met by transmitting the tape index location of the VCR 206 over control/data bus 270 during recording (col. 19, lines 46-52).

As to claim 41, the claimed means for storing the apparatus use information is met by tape directory, record memo and cable-specific RAM 234-238 (col. 19, lines 1-28 and 46-61), as well as memory or storage that is inherently comprised within VCR 206.

As to claim 43, the claimed means for receiving, in response to the request information, apparatus use information on the secondary AV apparatus transmitted from the secondary AV apparatus via the bus is met by the bus 270 and CPU 228 as described above in claim 39 (see col. 19, lines 46-61).

As to claim 44, the claimed means for, when the secondary AV apparatus can store the apparatus use information, transmitting the apparatus use information to the secondary AV apparatus via the bus is met by pressing the "RECORD" button as shown in the remote control representation in Fig. 21 and in the display prompt as shown in Fig. 12, where a program selected for recording "Judge" is 30 minutes in length and the tape time remaining of the secondary AV apparatus is displayed on the bottom of the screen as 4:30 (hours:minutes), and the user is given a message asking, "RECORD THIS? PEASE PRESS RECORD."

As to claim 45, the claimed means for transmitting display information on whether or not the secondary AV apparatus can store the apparatus use information to a display means to display the display information on whether or not storing is possible is met by the bus 270 connected to the CPU 228, which outputs the display information to video display generator 224 and then to video switcher 226, which further sends the signals to TV/Monitor 210 as shown in Fig. 22A and as described above in claim 44 as related to the display information.

As to claim 46, the claimed means for transmitting to the secondary AV apparatus via the bus, the apparatus use information together with the request information is inherent since the request information includes the apparatus use information as described above in claim 39 and as met by the Young et al reference.

Art Unit: 2614

As to claim 52, note the Young et al reference which discloses the claimed reservation data management section to manage data regarding timed reservation information as met by the Record Memo RAM memory 236 in Fig. 22A (col. 19, lines 1-5). The claimed time management section to give notice when a time of a reserved operation arrives is met by CPU 228 working in conjunction with the system clock 230 (col. 19, lines 5-8). The claimed control section to receive requested apparatus use information from the secondary AV apparatus via the bus is met by CPU 228 and bus 270 as shown in Fig. 22A (col. 19, lines 46-52), the claimed requested apparatus use information constitutes at least a set of reservation data for managing a reservation including information of a time desired to be reserved in the AV apparatus, and to determine by referencing the requested apparatus use information whether or not a use as is indicated in the requested apparatus use information is possible of the AV apparatus is met by the CPU 228, contained within apparatus or system 180, which sends VCR operation or control commands via IR driver 214 or bus 270 (col. 19, lines 50-52), where one reservation including information of a desired time during which the secondary AV apparatus should operate is met by the record parameters (channel, start time and length (col. 19, lines 1-3 and 50-52)), and determining whether such use is possible is met by Fig. 12, where a program selected for recording entitled "Judge" is 30 minutes in length and the tape time remaining of the secondary AV apparatus is displayed on the bottom of the screen as 4:30 (hours:minutes), and the user is given a message asking, "RECORD THIS? PEASE PRESS RECORD" since there is enough time remaining on the tape to record the program. Young et al also discloses in Fig. 5 and col. 8, lines 35-56 that if a conflict with a pending recording occurs, then the user may suspend a linked program by deselecting the link title in the Link List screen. Although the Young et al reference

Art Unit: 2614

does not necessarily or explicitly disclose the claimed wherein the receive and the determine operations are performed at a time when an operator inputs a time reservation request, the Hoekstra reference provides this additional teaching as similarly described above in claim 39.

As to claim 53, the claimed means for storing the apparatus use information and, if a use of the AV apparatus indicated by the apparatus use information is possible, the apparatus use information is stored is met by tape directory, record memo and cable-specific RAM 234-238 (col. 19, lines 1-28 and 46-61), as well as memory or storage that is inherently comprised within VCR 206.

As to claim 55, the Examiner is unsure as to whether or not claim 55 is dependent upon claim 39 or claim 52, the claimed information to request storage of the apparatus use information is information containing at least a starting time of the intended use of the secondary AV apparatus is met by the start time as disclosed in col. 19, lines 1-3 and as previously described above in claims 39 and 52.

As to claim 62, the AV apparatus system is rejection based on the rejection of claim 39 respectively.

As to claim 65, (Note: the Examiner is unsure if the claim is dependent upon claim 43 as currently listed) the claimed AV apparatus comprising a means for transmitting the apparatus use information to the secondary AV apparatus via the bus is met by the rejection of claim 44 respectively.

Art Unit: 2614

4. Claims 42, 47-49, 54, 56-59, 61 and 66-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al (USPN 5,353,121), in view of Hoekstra (USPN 5,929,931), in further view of Iijima (USPN 5,760,698), all cited by the Examiner.

As to claim 42, the claimed means for receiving, in response to the request information, response information transmitted from the secondary AV apparatus via the bus on whether or not the apparatus use information can be stored is met in part by the Young et al reference as shown in Fig. 12, where a program selected for recording "Judge" is 30 minutes in length and the tape time remaining of the secondary AV apparatus is displayed on the bottom of the screen as 4:30, and the user is given a message asking, "RECORD THIS? PEASE PRESS RECORD." Young et al also discloses in Fig. 5 and col. 8, lines 35-56 that if a conflict with a pending recording occurs, then the user may suspend a linked program by deselecting the link title in the Link List screen. Although Young et al does give explicit detail about the claimed response information transmitted from the secondary AV apparatus via the bus on whether or not the apparatus use information can be stored other than the information as described above in col. 19, lines 46-52. The Examiner submits that it is well known in the art to have such a feature as disclosed by the teachings of Iijima et al, where an error message such as "No Cassette In" is displayed as shown in Figure 6 when commands are exchanged between AV devices via the bus (col. 11, lines 49-54). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Young et al with Iijima et al which discloses transmitting a conflict message across the bus to another AV apparatus and displaying the message to a user for the advantage of notifying a user in the event of a conflict with an AV apparatus where storage would not occur. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been led to

Art Unit: 2614

make such a modification since displaying conflict messages is well known in the art as described above.

As to claim 47, and claims 48-49, the claimed means for transmitting to the secondary AV apparatus via the bus, when the secondary AV apparatus cannot store the apparatus use information, information to request transmission of a conflicting apparatus use information which conflicts with the apparatus use information is met in part by the Young et al reference as shown in Fig. 12, where a program selected for recording "Judge" is 30 minutes in length and the tape time remaining of the secondary AV apparatus is displayed on the bottom of the screen as 4:30, and the user is given a message asking, "RECORD THIS? PEASE PRESS RECORD." Young et al also discloses in Fig. 5 and col. 8, lines 35-56 that if a conflict with a pending recording occurs, then the user may suspend a linked program by deselecting the link title in the Link List screen. Young discloses means for receiving information from the secondary AV apparatus via the bus as met by the bus 270 and CPU 228 as described above in claim 39 (see col. 19, lines 46-61). The claimed means for transmitting the conflicting apparatus use information to a display means to display the conflicting apparatus use information is met by the bus 270 connected to the CPU 228, which outputs the display information to video display generator 224 and then to video switcher 226, which further sends the signals to TV/Monitor 210 as shown in Fig. 22A and as described above in claim 44 as related to the display information. Although Young et al does give explicit detail about the claimed response information transmitted from the secondary AV apparatus via the bus on whether or not the apparatus use information can be stored other than the information as described above in col. 19, lines 46-52. The Examiner submits that it is well known in the art to have such a feature as disclosed by the

teachings of Iijima et al, where an error message such as "No Cassette In" is displayed as shown in Figure 6 when commands are exchanged between AV devices via the bus (col. 11, lines 49-54). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Young et al with Iijima et al which discloses transmitting a conflict message across the bus to another AV apparatus and displaying the message to a user for the advantage of notifying a user in the event of a conflict with an AV apparatus where storage would not occur. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been led to make such a modification since displaying conflict messages is well known in the art as described above.

As to claim 54, the claimed means for transmitting, if the use of the AV apparatus indicated by the apparatus use information is impossible, information indicating the impossibility to use the AV apparatus via the bus is met in part by the Young et al reference as shown in Fig. 12, where a program selected for recording "Judge" is 30 minutes in length and the tape time remaining of the secondary AV apparatus is displayed on the bottom of the screen as 4:30, and the user is given a message asking, "RECORD THIS? PEASE PRESS RECORD." Young et al also discloses in Fig. 5 and col. 8, lines 35-56 that if a conflict with a pending recording occurs, then the user may suspend a linked program by deselecting the link title in the Link List screen. Although Young et al does give explicit detail about the claimed response information transmitted from the secondary AV apparatus via the bus on whether or not the apparatus use information can be stored other than the information as described above in col. 19, lines 46-52. The Examiner submits that it is well known in the art to have such a feature as disclosed by the teachings of Iijima et al, where an error message such as "No Cassette In" is displayed as shown

Art Unit: 2614

in Figure 6 when commands are exchanged between AV devices via the bus (col. 11, lines 49-54). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Young et al with Iijima et al which discloses transmitting a conflict message across the bus to another AV apparatus and displaying the message to a user for the advantage of notifying a user in the event of a conflict with an AV apparatus where storage would not occur. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been led to make such a modification since displaying conflict messages is well known in the art as described above.

As to claim 56, the claimed method is met by the system or first and second AV apparatus in the rejection of claims 39, 41 and 42-43 respectively.

As to claim 57, the claimed method is met in part by the rejection of claim 56 and by the rejection of claims 52-53 respectively.

As to claim 59, the claim is met by the rejection of claim 49 respectively.

As to claim 61, the claimed AV system is met in part by the rejection of claims 39, 41 and 42 respectively, where the Young et al reference discloses a first AV apparatus and a second AV apparatus connected by a bus and a plurality of AV apparatus where the first AV apparatus includes a first reservation data management section, a first time management section, and a first control section as previously described above and shown in Fig. 22A. The Iijima et al reference discloses multiple AV apparatus (TV1, VTR1-VTR3 and CAM1) connected by a 1394 serial bus as shown in Fig. 27. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the Young et al reference with the additional teachings of the Iijima et al reference where a plurality of similar AV apparatus are connected via a 1394 bus to meet the additional

Art Unit: 2614

claim language related to a second AV apparatus that includes a second reservation data management section..., a second time management section..., and a second control section for the advantage of having multiple AV devices such as VCR's networked together while providing a central AV apparatus such as a TV receiver to provide the primary control of the networked AV system. Although the Young et al reference does not necessarily or explicitly disclose the claimed wherein the receives, determines, transmits and stores operations of the first control section and second control section are performed at a time when an operator inputs a time reservation request related to the requested apparatus use information, the Hoekstra reference provides this additional teaching as similarly described above in claim 39.

As to claim 66, the claimed apparatus is rejected based on a similar rejection as described in claim 57.

As to claim 67, the claim is rejected based on a similar format with the primary and secondary AV apparatus as in claim 66 as rejected above.

5. Claims 50-51 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al (USPN 5,353,121), in view of Hoekstra, in view of Iijima (USPN 5,760,698), in further view of Young (USPN 4,706,121), all cited by the Examiner.

As to claim 50, the Young et al (5,353,121), Hoekstra and Iijima et al references disclose means for determining when a conflict exists for storing sets of apparatus use information as described above. Although, these references fail to teach a means for deciding, when information that storage is impossible has been received, which of conflicting sets of apparatus

Art Unit: 2614

use information is to be given priority. An earlier Young patent (4,706,121), which is incorporated in its entirety (see Young 5,353,121 col. 20, lines 35-36) discloses a VCR scheduling system and method where a request for storage of use information is sent to an AV system along with use information (see user selection, col. 4, lines 53-60 and col. 5, lines 48-51). Young further discloses a means whereby, upon reception of conflicting use information, the system determines which set of conflicting apparatus use information is to be give priority (col. 20, lines 9-17), for the purpose of providing a reliable means for selecting use data in a given apparatus. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have further modified the systems of Young et al, Hoekstra and Iijima to include a means for deciding which set of conflicting apparatus use information is to be given priority, as taught by the Young (4,706,121) patent, for the purpose of providing a reliable means for selecting use data in a given apparatus.

As to claim 51, the combined systems of Young (5,353,121), Hoekstra, Iijima and Young (4,706,121) disclose a means for reading the apparatus use information out of the means to store the apparatus use information, and a means for altering the apparatus use information that has been read out (see Young 4,706,121 col. 20, lines 12-13 and 18-19).

As to claim 60, the claimed method is met by the rejection of claim 50 respectively.

6. Claim 63 (as related to claim 61) is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al (USPN 5,353,121), in view of Hoekstra, in view of Iijima (USPN 5,760,698), in further view of Kopetz (USPN 4,866,606), all cited by the Examiner.

Art Unit: 2614

As to claim 63, the Young et al, Hoekstra and Iijima et al reference as combined above disclose an AV system consisting of a plurality of bus-connected AV apparatuses. However, both reference fail to disclose performing time adjustment as recited in the claim. In related art, the Kopetz reference discloses a network of apparatuses in which each apparatus contains a local clock, and moreover, performs time adjustment (see "synchronization of the real time clocks" in col. 1, lines 33-48), for the purpose of preventing scheduling conflicts due to unsynchronized clocks. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the system of Young et al as combined with Hoekstra and Iijima et al to include a plurality of apparatuses performing time adjustment, as taught by the Kopetz reference for the advantage of preventing scheduling conflicts due to unsynchronized clocks.

7. Claim 63 (as related to claim 62) is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al (USPN 5,353,121), in view of Hoekstra, in view of Kopetz (USPN 4,866,606), all cited by the Examiner.

As to claim 63 (as related to claim 62) the claim is rejected based on similar arguments as described above in the rejection related to claim 61 without reference to Iijima et al.

8. Claim 64 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al (USPN 5,353,121), in view of Hoekstra, in view of Iijima (USPN 5,760,698), in further view of Van Steenbrugge (USPN 5,502,436), all cited by the Examiner.

As to claim 64, the claim is rejected in part based on the rejection of claim 61 for the combination of the Young et al, Hoekstra and the Iijima et al references. The Iijima el reference

Art Unit: 2614

discloses an AV system comprising of a plurality of bus-connected AV apparatuses in which apparatus use information pertaining to a first AV apparatus (VTR1 in Fig. 18) is stored by said first apparatus and at least one second apparatus (TV1 in Fig. 18) intending to transmit video information to said first AV apparatus. Neither Young nor Hoekstra and Iijima disclose a system further comprising: when a third AV apparatus intends to transmit or receive AV information to or from the first AV apparatus or the second AV apparatus, a stat of use of the first AV apparatus and the second AV apparatus is grasped by making an inquiry for the apparatus use information to either of the first AV apparatus and second AV apparatus, as recited in the claim. The Van Steenbrugge reference discloses an AV system of serially bus-connected AV apparatuses (on of SAT 10, LV 14, AMP 18, TV 16 and VCR 12 in Fig. 3) in which each apparatus has only connection knowledge of its local peers. Van Steenbrugge discloses the system further comprises a third AV apparatus (laser disk video player, LV 14), intending to transmit AV data to said first apparatus (VCR 12), grasps the state of use of said first apparatus and second apparatus (amplifier, AMP 18) by inquiring of only the second apparatus (Figs. 1 and 2, and col. 4, lines 32-67), for the advantage of eliminating the necessity for each AV apparatus to store use knowledge about each apparatus in said bus. In the example given, the LV 14 inquires to make a connection with the VCR 12. If, for example a connection already exists between the AMP 18 and the VCR 12, LV 14 needs only to inquire AMP 18 for the status of either VCR 12 or AMP 18. In the case of returning status for VCR 12, AMP 18 forwards the request until the destination (VCR 12) is reached, and a response is returned to LV 14 (col. 5, lines 32-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Young, Hoekstra and Iijima to include a system further

comprising when a third AV apparatus intends to transmit or receive AV information to or from the first AV apparatus or the second AV apparatus, a stat of use of the first AV apparatus and the second AV apparatus is grasped by making an inquiry for the apparatus use information to either of the first AV apparatus and second AV apparatus, as taught by Van Steenbrugge, for the advantage of eliminating the necessity for each AV apparatus to store use knowledge about each apparatus connected to the bus.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael W. Hoye whose telephone number is (703) 305-6954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller, can be reached at (703) 305-4795.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Please address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as follows:

Mail Stop ____ Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Please address mail to be delivered by other delivery services (Federal Express (Fed Ex), UPS, DHL, Laser, Action, Purolator, etc.) as follows:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 220 20th Street South Customer Window, Mail Stop ____ Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, Virginia 22202

Or faxed to: (703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to customer service whose telephone number is (703) 308-HELP.

Michael W. Hoye January 24, 2005

JOHN MILLER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600